

## Message Text

PAGE 01 USUN N 02386 270008 Z

62

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-02 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12 ACDA-19 RSR-01 /166 W

----- 058554

R 262319 Z JUN 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8583

INFO AMEMBASSY AMMAN

AMEMBASSY BEIRUT

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV

AMEMBASSY PANAMA CITY

AMEMBASSY LIMA

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY KHARTOUM

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY NAIROBI

AMEMBASSY CONAKRY

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

USINT CAIRO

USMISSION GENEVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 2386

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: UNSC, XF

SUBJ: SC DEBATE ON ME: GENEVA PROPOSAL

REF: USUN 2372

1. DURING DAY WE DID NOT LOSE ANY GROUND ON GENEVA PROPOSAL  
BUT WE ARE NOT CONFIDENT THAT WE GAINED MUCH. DESPITE LINE TAKEN  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 02386 270008 Z

BY KENYAN PERMREP WITH AMB SCHAUFEL ( SEPTTEL), THERE ARE SOME  
INDICATIONS THAT HE MAY BE PREPARED FOR FACE- SAVINGWAY OUT.  
HE REPORTEDLY TOLD ONE SOURCE THAT, IF NUMBER OF NON- PERM REPS  
INDICATED TO HIM THAT SHIFT TO GENEVA WOULD INCONVENIENCE THEM,

HE MIGHT BE ABLE TO BACK DOWN. WE ARE DISCREETLY TRYING TO GET THIS POINT OVER TO NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE SOURCES.

2. WE MADE FULL PRESENTATION OF OUR OPPOSITION TO GENEVA IN CONVERSATION WITH PRC COUNSELLOR CHOU NAN. HE INDICATED UNDERSTANDING FOR OUR OPPOSITION, ON BOTH FINANCIAL AND POLITICAL GROUNDS, BUT SAID HE HAD NO INSTRUCTIONS. HE ADDED THAT NON- ALIGNED GROUP WAS SHOWING SOME SOLIDARITY AND THIS OF COURSE WOULD HAVE TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT BY HIS GOVT.

3. AUSTRALIANS HAVE RECEIVED INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTINUE OPPOSITION. THESE INSTRUCTIONS DID NOT SPECIFICALLY ADDRESS POSSIBILITY OF VOTE IN SC ( PREVIOUS SC DECISIONS FOR OUT- OF- HEADQUARTERS MEETINGS WERE REACHED BY CONSENSUS) AND THE THOUGHT AUSTRALIA WOULD ABSTAIN AS MINIMUM.

4. AMB SCALI SPOKE JUNE 26 WITH SOV AND PERUVIAN PERMREPS ( TO BE REPORTED SEPARATELY).

5. ON BASIS THEIR SOUNDINGS IN CAPITALS, BRITISH HAVE GIVEN US FOLLOWING RUN- DOWN: INDONESIANS ARE NOT KEEN BECAUSE CHANGE OF VENUE WOULD CAUSE CONSIDERABLE INCONVENIENCE TO DEL. HOWEVER, GOI DOES NOT BELIEVE IT SHOULD ACT INDEPENDENTLY OF ARAB VIEWS AND WILL GO ALONG IF THAT IS WHAT ARABS WISH. OTHERWISE, GOI WILL ABSTAIN. BRITISH AMB IN NAIROBI WAS INFORMED THAT SHIFT OF VENUE WAS ORGINALLY IDEA OF ODERO- JOWI BUT HE NOW HAS SUPPORTIVE INSTRUCTIONS. KENYAN FONOFF SAID MAIN REASONS FOR GENEVA PROPOSAL WERE CONVENIENCE TO SMALL DELS AND TO BRING HOME TO EUROPEANS SERIOUSNESS OF ME SITUATION. PANAMANIAN FONMIN SAID HE WOULD CONSIDER BRITISH APPROACH ; UK AMB COMMENTED HE WAS NOT HOPEFUL. UK AMB REPORTED FROM VIENNA THAT HE WAS ALMOST CERTAIN FONOFF WOULD FORWARD RECOMMENDATION GOA VOTE AGAINST, BUT HE THOUGHT AUSTRIA WOULD EVENTUALLY ABSTAIN. UK FONOFF HAS BEEN INFORMED BY FRENCH THAT THEY WILL MAINTAIN THEIR OPPOSITION BUT WILL NOT LOBBY AGAINST GENEVA.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 02386 270008 Z

6. WE SHALL MAKE FURTHER APPROACH TO EGYPTIANS JUNE 27 AND IN MEANTIME HAVE ENCOURAGED JORDANIANS, WHO HAVE UNTIL NOW TAKEN NEUTRAL POSITION, TO INDICATE TO NON- ALIGNED DELS THAT IN FACT GENEVA PROPOSAL WOULD BE VERY INCONVENIENT. WE ALSO BRIEFED JORDANIANS FULLY ON OUR ATTITUDE.

7. FOR ALL ADDRESSEES: UN CHARTER PROVIDES THAT PROCEDURAL MOTION, WHICH RES ON SHIFT TO GENEVA WOULD BE CONSIDERED, REQUIRES NINE POSITIVE VOTES. THEREFORE, ABSTENTION IN FACT IS JUST AS USEFUL AS NO VOTE. VETO DOES NOT APPLY.  
SCALI

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 02 APR 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 26 JUN 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** boyleja  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973USUNN02386  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** USUN NY  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730634/abqceazf.tel  
**Line Count:** 109  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** USUN 2372  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** boyleja  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 08 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <08-Aug-2001 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <16-Aug-2001 by boyleja>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** SC DEBATE ON ME: GENEVA PROPOSAL  
**TAGS:** UNSC, XF  
**To:** STATE INFO AMMAN  
BEIRUT  
LONDON  
MOSCOW  
PARIS  
TEL AVIV  
PANAMA CITY

LIMA  
JAKARTA  
NEW DELHI  
KHARTOUM  
BELGRADE  
VIENNA  
NAIROBI  
CONAKRY  
CANBERRA  
CAIRO  
GENEVA

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005